Minimal Component-Hypertrees

Alexandre Morimitsu

Wonder A. L. Alves Dennis J. Silva Charles F. Gobber Ronaldo F. Hashimoto

alexandre.morimitsu@usp.br

University of São Paulo

Universidade Nove de Julho

March 27, 2019

1/42

Introduction and Related Works

Background Theory

Proposed Method Algorithms

Conclusion

・ロ ・ ・ 一 ・ ・ 三 ・ ・ 三 ・ ・ 三 ・ つ へ (~ 2/42

Introduction and Related Works

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = 釣ぬ⊙

3 / 42

Mathematical Morphology;

- Mathematical Morphology;
- Connected components (CCs) can give information about the characteristics of an object;

- Mathematical Morphology;
- Connected components (CCs) can give information about the characteristics of an object;

USP

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日

4 / 42

Components Trees (Salembier et al., 1998)

 A graph (tree) that represents the inclusion relation of connected components of level sets of an image;

 $f: \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{Z}^n \to \{0, \dots, K-1\}$



Components Trees (Salembier et al., 1998)



Components Trees (Salembier et al., 1998)



Components Trees (Salembier et al., 1998)



Components Trees (Salembier et al., 1998)



Introduction Connected Components

Closely related to the chosen connectivity;

Introduction Connected Components

Closely related to the chosen connectivity;



 Groups of close objects can be considered as a single component;

Introduction Components Trees



Introduction Components Trees



Component-Hypertrees (Passat and Naegel, 2011)

 Another hierarchy of connected components: multiple connectivities;

Component-Hypertrees (Passat and Naegel, 2011)

- Another hierarchy of connected components: multiple connectivities;
- A bigger neighborhood may connect disjoint components built from a smaller neighborhood;

Component-Hypertrees

Graph that represents both inclusions based on level sets and neighborhoods: component-hypertree.



Component-Hypertrees

Not as widely adopted as component trees:

- Not as widely adopted as component trees:
- Includes information of all individual component trees and inclusion of nodes from consecutive trees;

- Not as widely adopted as component trees:
- Includes information of all individual component trees and inclusion of nodes from consecutive trees;
- Cost in memory and processing times is multiplied by the number of neighborhoods;

Introduction Component-Hypertrees

- Definition for mask-based connectivities (Passat and Naegel, 2011);
 - Focused on the theory of hypertrees;

- Definition for mask-based connectivities (Passat and Naegel, 2011);
 - Focused on the theory of hypertrees;
- Efficient way of updating a tree for the next neighborhood (Morimitsu et al., 2015);

- Definition for mask-based connectivities (Passat and Naegel, 2011);
 - Focused on the theory of hypertrees;
- Efficient way of updating a tree for the next neighborhood (Morimitsu et al., 2015);
- To the best of our knowledge, there was no optimized way of storing hypertrees efficiently;

- Definition for mask-based connectivities (Passat and Naegel, 2011);
 - Focused on the theory of hypertrees;
- Efficient way of updating a tree for the next neighborhood (Morimitsu et al., 2015);
- To the best of our knowledge, there was no optimized way of storing hypertrees efficiently;
- This is the problem we want to solve;

Background



Max-tree: efficient way of implementing a component tree;



Max-tree: efficient way of implementing a component tree;



Theory Max-tree



- Nodes are stored only once;
- Each pixel is stored only in the smallest node that contains it;
- Construction algorithm is optimized, i.e., it already allocate the nodes without repetition;

Theory Max-tree



- Nodes are stored only once;
- Each pixel is stored only in the smallest node that contains it;
- Construction algorithm is optimized, i.e., it already allocate the nodes without repetition;

We want a similar structure for component-hypertrees.

Naive approach

Simplified component-hypertree

- Naive approach: Build each max-tree separately;
- Merge nodes from consecutive trees;

Naive approach

Simplified component-hypertree

Naive approach: Build each max-tree separately;

15 / 42

- Merge nodes from consecutive trees;
- Approach works, but it is not efficient:
 - Does not use previous computations;
 - Repeated nodes in different trees;

Proposed Method

Proposed approach

Simplified component-hypertree

Proposed approach:

Proposed approach

Simplified component-hypertree

- Proposed approach:
 - Supposes a construction algorithm that uses previously computed max-tree and update them for the next neighborhood;
 - Keep track of changes to allocate only new nodes and arcs;

Algorithms

Unordered union-find based version;
- Unordered union-find based version;
- ► Let A be a set of pair of neighboring pixels. Then, the algorithm follows this template:
 - 1. Initialize the max-tree with each pixel as a node; //makeset
 - 2. For each pair $(p,q) \in \mathcal{A}$:
 - 2.1 Connect p to q in the max-tree; //union (Wilkinson et al., 2008)























In the max-tree, connecting two pixels consists of merging two separate paths of the tree into one;

Algorithms Hypertree Template

Let $\mathbb{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$ be a sequence of *n* increasing sets of neighboring pixels. Then, the hypertree construction algorithm follows the template below:

- 1. Initialize the max-tree;
- 2. For $1 \leq i \leq n$:
 - 2.1 For (p, q) neighbors in A_i :
 - 2.1.1 Connect p and q in the max-tree, looking for new nodes and arcs not present in step i 1;
 - 2.2 Update the allocated hypertree based on new nodes and arcs;

Algorithms Hypertree Template

Let $\mathbb{A} = (\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n)$ be a sequence of *n* increasing sets of neighboring pixels. Then, the hypertree construction algorithm follows the template below:

- 1. Initialize the max-tree;
- 2. For $1 \leq i \leq n$:
 - 2.1 For "relevant" (p,q) in A_i : //e.g. Morimitsu et al. (2015)
 - 2.1.1 Connect p and q in the max-tree, looking for new nodes and arcs not present in step i 1;
 - 2.2 Update the allocated hypertree based on new nodes and arcs;









 Detection of new nodes is found through changes in parent relation during the connect procedure;

- Detection of new nodes is found through changes in parent relation during the connect procedure;
- A node with a new child from the other path is a new node, since it contain at least a new pixel;
- All ancestors in this path, up to the common ancestor, will also now contain this new pixel and will be part of a new node.



New nodes

- Mark all nodes (i.e., add their representative to a queue) in a path when a change in parenthood happens;
- Marked nodes are used to allocate new nodes;
 - Sometimes two marked nodes represent a same new node.
 - Usage of the *find* operation to avoid duplicated nodes;

New arcs

- Allocating arcs from new nodes:
 - For all new nodes, find their respective parent (from the max-tree) in hypertree and allocate these arcs;

New arcs

- Allocating arcs from new nodes:
 - For all new nodes, find their respective parent (from the max-tree) in hypertree and allocate these arcs;
- Allocating arcs pointing from old nodes to new nodes:
 - Allocate arcs that trigger a change in parenthood in the connect procedure;

New arcs

- Allocating arcs from new nodes:
 - For all new nodes, find their respective parent (from the max-tree) in hypertree and allocate these arcs;
- Allocating arcs pointing from old nodes to new nodes:
 - Allocate arcs that trigger a change in parenthood in the connect procedure;
 - Find all nodes from the previous tree with the same representative as the new nodes and link them with an arc;

Obtained-hypertree



Obtained-hypertree



Max-trees vs. Obtained Component-Hypertrees

- Nodes are stored only once;
- Each pixel is stored only in the smallest node that contains it;
- Construction algorithm does not allocate repeated nodes.

- ▶ √;
- Each pixel is stored only in the smallest node that contains in the first tree;
 √;

イロト 不同下 イヨト イヨト

3

Max-trees vs. Obtained Component-Hypertrees

- Nodes are stored only once;
- Each pixel is stored only in the smallest node that contains it;
- Construction algorithm does not allocate repeated nodes.
- All arcs give relevant information regarding inclusion relation.

▶ √;

 ► Each pixel is stored only in the smallest node that contains in the first tree;
✓;

イロト 不同下 イヨト イヨト

- 32

Max-trees vs. Obtained Component-Hypertrees

- Nodes are stored only once;
- Each pixel is stored only in the smallest node that contains it;
- Construction algorithm does not allocate repeated nodes.
- All arcs give relevant information regarding inclusion relation.

▶ √;

- Each pixel is stored only in the smallest node that contains in the first tree;
 √;
- Removes most arcs that give redundant information regarding inclusion relation.

Minimal Component-Hypertrees



Minimum hypertree?

Minimal Component-Hypertrees



Minimal Component-Hypertrees



Minimal Component-Hypertrees





The obtained hypertree has the smallest¹ number of nodes and arcs such that:

Theory Minimal Component-Hypertrees

- The obtained hypertree has the smallest¹ number of nodes and arcs such that:
 - 1. All original inclusion relations are preserved;
 - 2. All nodes can be reconstructed without depending on nodes with higher connectivity index;


Time consumption

Updating the max-tree is the most time consuming step;

Time consumption

- Updating the max-tree is the most time consuming step;
- For an optimized implementation using 50 square neighborhoods:
 - Total time ranging from 1 (0.25 mega-pixels) to 60s (8 mega-pixels);

35 / 42

Only 3% to 6% of time used to allocate structures;

Memory saving



Memory saving

- Memory saved, in average, for n = 10:
 - about 80% compared to the complete representation;
 - about 50% compared to the naive implementation;

Memory saving

- Memory saved, in average, for n = 10:
 - about 80% compared to the complete representation;
 - about 50% compared to the naive implementation;
- This percentage increases as n increases since the number of new nodes decreases;

Conclusion

◆□> ◆圖> ◆臣> ◆臣> 三臣 - のへ⊙

38 / 42

Conclusion

- Algorithms for efficient storage of component-hypertrees was proposed;
- Big saves in storage compared to other approaches;
- Allocation of nodes and arcs is fast;
- Computation of attributes will be presented in a later date (ISMM 2019);

Last Remarks

- Thank you!
- Questions? You can also check our poster;

Acknowledgements

This study was financed in part by the CAPES - Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (Finance Code 001); FAPESP - Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (Proc. 2018/15652-7); CNPq - Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (Proc. 428720/2018-8).

References

Alexandre Morimitsu, Wonder AL Alves, and Ronaldo F Hashimoto. Incremental and efficient computation of families of component trees. In International Symposium on Mathematical Morphology and Its Applications to Signal and Image Processing, pages 681–692. Springer, 2015.

Nicolas Passat and Benoît Naegel. Component-hypertrees for image segmentation. In *ISMM*, volume 6671, pages 284–295. Springer, 2011.

Philippe Salembier, Albert Oliveras, and Luis Garrido.

- Antiextensive connected operators for image and sequence processing. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 7(4): 555–570, 1998.
- Michael HF Wilkinson, Hui Gao, Wim H Hesselink, Jan-Eppo Jonker, and Arnold Meijster. Concurrent computation of attribute filters on shared memory parallel machines. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 30 (10):1800–1813, 2008.